

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PAPENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/785,107	02/25/2004	Kristian Glejbol	08845.0004-01	8803
22852	7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BRINSON, PATRICK F	
LLP 1300 I STRE	ET. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005		3752		

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ ^ []
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7/10
	10/785,107	GLEJBOL ET AL.	١V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick F. Brinson	3752	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	<b>;</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communi NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ Th  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	• •	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>15-31</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>15-31</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a contract of the specific and any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to be e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (P10-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/25/04</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/257,638, filed on 16 November 2002.

### Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter recited in claim 15 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. No figure illustrates a spirally wound internal pressure armor in the inner liner, as recited in claim 15.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency.

Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the

remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 15 recites the spirally wound internal pressure armor layer "in" the inner liner. It is suggested that "in" be changed to "within", to show that the armor liner is inside the armor liner to protect it against very great external compressive forces. As it read, it appears that the armor is in or part of the inner liner. Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/785,107

Art Unit: 3752

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 15-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,739,355 in view of US. 3,858,616 to **Thiery et al**.

The '355 reference discloses a flexible, armored pipe comprising an inner liner and a spirally wound pressure armor having the structure recited in claim 1, including the a number of adjoining armor profiles, each having a number of recesses, at least two of the recesses being provided along the surfaces of the adjoining armor profiles forming a cavity therebetween, and a number of locking profiles, the locking profiles being adapted to hold together the adjoining profiles, wherein at least two recesses are locking recesses and further wherein the formed cavity accommodates a locking element. The '355 reference does not disclose providing this spirally wound pressure armor within the inner liner. The patent to **Thiery et al.** discloses a tight, flexible pipe including an inner layer (3) and an armouring layer (2) within the inner layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the armouring layer of '355 to be in the inner

Art Unit: 3752

layer, as suggested by **Thiery et al**. in order to provide a layer that can withstand crushing stresses of pressures outside of the inner liner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0111. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick F. Brinson

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752

P. F. Brinson June 28, 2004